Intonation and meaning in spoken Arabic: a conceptual appraisal of Nigerian Arabic Speakers' speech patterns

Prof. Inuwa Muhammad S. Dalhatu[1] and Dr. Bello Muhammad[1]

[1] Department of Arabic Studies, Federal University, Gusau, Zamfara State, Nigeria

Correspondence Author: Prof. Inuwa Muhammad S. Dalhatu

Received 19 Feb 2025; Accepted 2 Apr 2025; Published 10 Apr 2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.64171/JSRD.4.2.13-18

Abstract

Intonation plays a subtle yet powerful role in shaping how meaning is conveyed, interpreted, and understood in spoken language. In Arabic, like in many other languages, the rise and fall of pitch, the rhythm of speech, and pauses do more than carry sound—they carry intent, emotion, and nuance. This paper conceptually explores how intonation functions as a meaning-making tool in the speech patterns of Nigerian Arabic speakers. Relying exclusively on secondary sources, the study synthesizes linguistic, phonological, and sociolinguistic insights to examine how prosody interacts with local speech habits, language contact, and multilingualism in Nigeria. The review draws on existing literature from Arabic dialectology, prosodic theory, and pragmatics to analyze how intonation patterns shape interpersonal communication and reflect cultural expression among Nigerian speakers of Arabic. In doing so, the paper identifies a clear research gap and underscores the need for context-sensitive studies on intonation within African Arabic-speaking communities. The findings offer a foundation for further inquiry and pedagogical adaptation in teaching Arabic in multilingual settings.

Keywords: Intonation, Nigerian Arabic, Speech Meaning, Prosody, Conceptual Review

1. Introduction

Spoken language is more than the words that are uttered; it is also how those words are said. Intonation—the rise and fall of pitch in speech—plays a central role in shaping meaning, expressing emotion, and structuring discourse across human languages (Cruttenden, 1997; Ladd, 2008) [3, 5]. In Arabic, intonation is deeply embedded in both grammatical structure and pragmatic use, allowing speakers to signal questions, commands, emotions, or attitudes without altering the lexical content (El Zarka, 2011) [4]. However, the majority of studies on Arabic intonation have focused on Classical Arabic or widely spoken dialects in the Middle East and North Africa, with limited attention given to African Arabic variants—especially those found in Nigeria.

Nigeria is home to small but distinct Arabic-speaking communities, particularly in the north, where Arabic serves religious, educational, and inter-ethnic communicative purposes (Owens, 2001) [6]. The variety spoken in Nigeria is heavily influenced by local languages such as Hausa, Kanuri, and Fulfulde, giving rise to what scholars term "non-native" or "heritage Arabic" (Boumans & Al-Wer, 2013) [2]. These forms often display unique phonological, syntactic, and prosodic features due to prolonged language contact and bilingualism or multilingualism. In such contexts, intonation does not merely reflect standard patterns but adapts in complex ways to reflect local communicative norms and identities (Albirini, 2016) [1].

Despite this, there is a notable gap in research on how intonation functions among Nigerian Arabic speakers. While isolated phonetic studies exist for other African Arabic varieties, Nigerian Arabic remains underexamined in terms of prosody and meaning. This lack of focus limits our understanding of how African multilingual realities influence Arabic speech patterns, especially in oral discourse. Understanding these dynamics is critical not only for linguistic documentation but also for improving pedagogical approaches to Arabic instruction in multilingual societies (Watson & Heselwood, 2011) [7].

This study, therefore, aims to conceptually explore how intonation contributes to meaning in the speech of Nigerian Arabic speakers. Drawing on a range of theoretical and empirical secondary sources from phonology, pragmatics, and sociolinguistics, it critically examines how intonation is used, modified, and interpreted within the Nigerian linguistic landscape. The paper also considers how local language ecologies impact prosodic choices and suggests future directions for research and teaching. In doing so, it seeks to contribute to a more inclusive understanding of Arabic phonology that accounts for African linguistic realities.

2. Conceptual review

2.1. Understanding intonation

Intonation refers to the variation of pitch in spoken language that conveys meaning beyond the lexical content of speech. It includes components such as pitch range, pitch movement (rising, falling, level), rhythm, and speech melody, all of which work together to shape how utterances are perceived (Ladd, 2008) [5]. In functional terms, intonation performs grammatical, attitudinal, and discourse functions, enabling speakers to signal questions, statements, emphasis, surprise, sarcasm, or emotional tone (Cruttenden, 1997) [3].

In many languages, especially tonal ones, intonation interacts with lexical tone, while in non-tonal or pitch-accent languages, it serves more pragmatic functions (Gussenhoven, 2004) [12]. Arabic, although not a tonal language, employs intonation systematically to signal sentence type, focus, and speaker intention. For instance, rising intonation at the end of a declarative clause often signals interrogation, while falling intonation can mark finality or assertiveness (El Zarka, 2011; Al-Ani & Husein, 2021) [4, 8].

2.2. Intonation and meaning-making in conversation

The meaning conveyed by speech is not derived from words alone but also from how those words are delivered. Intonation helps listeners distinguish between identical lexical content that may serve different communicative purposes. For example, the Arabic phrase "inta jaay?" (Are you coming?) can express curiosity, suspicion, or annoyance depending on the intonation pattern employed (Hellmuth, 2014) [13]. As a prosodic cue, intonation works with stress, pause, tempo, and loudness to structure discourse and manage turn-taking, politeness, and emotional expression (Wichmann, 2019) [16].

Several scholars have emphasized the conversational role of intonation as a guide to the speaker's communicative intention and the listener's inferencing process (Ward & Hirschberg, 2020) [15]. In Arabic, this process becomes particularly nuanced due to dialectal variation, which affects both the form and function of intonational contours (Chahal & Hellmuth, 2014) [11]. This variation is even more pronounced in multilingual settings like Nigeria, where prosodic patterns may be influenced by substrate languages.

2.3. Arabic intonation in contact contexts

Arabic spoken in Nigeria does not function as a native language but often as a second, third, or heritage language in religious, educational, and ceremonial settings (Owens, 2001; Albirini, 2016) [6, 1]. As a result, intonational features may reflect transfer from dominant local languages such as Hausa and Kanuri, both of which are tonal. Studies on second language prosody suggest that learners often impose the intonation rules of their first language onto the second, especially in unstressed syllable-timed languages like Arabic (Mennen, 2021; Zahrah, 2023) [14, 22]. In Nigerian Arabic, this could result in hybrid or indigenized intonation patterns that are functionally unique.

Moreover, sociolinguistic factors such as diglossia, multilingualism, and non-standardization contribute to the fluidity of prosodic features in Nigerian Arabic. While Classical Arabic remains the written and liturgical standard, spoken forms diverge significantly, and intonation is one of the features most affected by regional and sociocultural influences (Al-Wer, 2022) [9].

2.4. Prosody and identity in african arabic varieties

Intonation is not only a linguistic phenomenon but also a marker of identity and social belonging. As argued by Bucholtz and Hall (2005) [10], prosody forms part of the "indexical field" through which speakers position themselves socially and culturally. Among Nigerian Arabic speakers, intonational choices may reflect cultural norms of deference, expressiveness, or authority as shaped by the local linguistic ecology. For example, indirectness or politeness may be prosodically marked through rising contours or lengthened syllables, mirroring Hausa pragmatic norms (Yahaya, 2018) [21].

Thus, the study of intonation in Nigerian Arabic cannot be separated from broader questions of identity, contact linguistics, and linguistic adaptation. It is in this conceptual space—between structure and social meaning—that intonation operates as both a linguistic and cultural tool.

3. Theoretical framework

This study is grounded in an interdisciplinary theoretical framework that draws from Prosodic Phonology, Speech Act Theory, and the Pragmatics of Meaning. These perspectives are brought together to offer a layered understanding of how intonation contributes to meaning-making in the speech of Nigerian Arabic speakers, particularly within a multilingual and contact-influenced environment.

At the structural level, Prosodic Phonology Theory (Nespor & Vogel, 1986) [18] provides a foundational model for analyzing the hierarchical organization of prosodic features in speech. It distinguishes between different prosodic units—such as syllables, phonological words, and intonational phrases—which are key to identifying how pitch contours, boundary tones, and rhythm operate in spoken Arabic. This framework helps explain the formal characteristics of intonation that signal discourse boundaries, emphasis, and focus.

Building on this, Speech Act Theory (Searle, 1975) [19] adds a functional layer by framing speech as a tool for performing communicative actions. In this view, intonation is not merely ornamental but integral to distinguishing between statements, questions, commands, and expressive acts—even when the same words are used. For example, a simple declarative sentence in Arabic may function as a request or criticism depending on its intonational contour.

To bridge the structural and functional levels, the study incorporates Grice's Pragmatic Theory of Meaning (Grice, 1975) [17]. Grice's principles of cooperation and conversational implicature are essential for understanding how listeners interpret meaning beyond the literal text. Intonation, in this context, serves as a pragmatic cue that helps infer speaker intention, emotional state, and contextual appropriateness—especially in conversations shaped by cultural norms and multilingual realities.

Together, these three frameworks are complementary. Prosodic phonology identifies how intonation is structured, speech act theory explains what it does, and pragmatics clarifies how it is interpreted. Their integration allows for a conceptual examination of intonation that respects both the linguistic form and the sociocultural context in which Nigerian Arabic is spoken.

4. Review of related studies

The study of intonation in Arabic has attracted growing scholarly interest, especially with the development of formal prosodic frameworks and cross-linguistic phonological models. However, most of the existing literature has focused on native varieties spoken in the Middle East and North Africa, with relatively little attention given to non-native contexts such as Nigeria. This section critically reviews relevant studies in three major thematic areas that inform the present work: the phonological features of Arabic intonation, the pragmatic and functional dimensions of pitch variation, and the influence of multilingualism and language contact on Arabic prosody. The review concludes by identifying key gaps in the literature and outlining their implications for future research.

4.1 Phonological analyses of Arabic intonation

The phonological study of Arabic intonation has gained significant momentum in recent decades, primarily due to the increased application of formal models such as the Autosegmental-Metrical (AM) framework and Prosodic Phonology. These models have enabled researchers to map intonational contours with greater precision, revealing consistent patterns within and across dialects. In Egyptian Arabic, for example, Hellmuth (2014) [13] documented the use of rising boundary tones in yes–no questions and falling tones in declarative statements, highlighting the interaction between syntax and intonation. Such studies show that intonation is not simply ornamental but is structurally embedded within the language system.

Chahal and Hellmuth (2014) [11] further advanced the field by comparing Lebanese and Egyptian Arabic, demonstrating how intonational variation can signal not only sentence modality but also regional identity. Their work identifies key differences in pitch range, nuclear stress placement, and prosodic phrasing between the two dialects. These findings support the idea that intonation patterns are both language-specific and socially meaningful. Crucially, the comparative approach they adopt reveals the fluidity of Arabic prosody, especially in informal or colloquial registers.

Another noteworthy contribution comes from Jun (2014), who presents a cross-linguistic typology of prosodic systems. In her edited volume, Arabic is classified as a language where intonation boundaries often coincide with syntactic boundaries, particularly at the clause and phrase levels. This alignment between prosody and grammar reinforces the communicative function of intonation in structuring discourse. Jun's framework has been instrumental in standardizing prosodic analysis across languages, making it easier to compare Arabic varieties with other world languages.

Despite these advancements, most phonological studies have focused on native or first-language speakers of Arabic in countries such as Egypt, Lebanon, Jordan, and the Gulf region. These studies provide valuable insights into the internal phonological mechanisms of Arabic but offer limited relevance to Arabic spoken in multilingual, non-native contexts like Nigeria. The assumptions of phonological regularity and dialectal stability in these studies may not hold in regions where Arabic is a liturgical or second language, influenced by multiple local phonologies.

Furthermore, phonological models developed for native Arabic may not fully capture the complexity of prosodic behavior in heritage or non-native speakers. For instance, Nigerian Arabic speakers may use pitch and boundary tones in ways that diverge from established norms in MENA dialects. This divergence may result from interference from indigenous tonal languages, delayed language acquisition, or simplified input from instructors. Therefore, while foundational phonological studies offer essential groundwork, they must be adapted or expanded to accommodate the realities of Arabic spoken in African contexts.

4.2 Pragmatic and functional dimensions of intonation

In addition to its structural function, intonation plays a vital role in the pragmatic and social dimensions of communication. One of the earliest and most influential theories in this regard is Speech Act Theory, as articulated by Searle (1975) [19], which emphasizes that utterances perform actions beyond simply conveying information. In Arabic, intonation is a powerful tool for modulating these speech acts—whether by softening a command, expressing uncertainty in a question, or signaling sarcasm in a declarative. This functional role of intonation has been extensively studied in recent decades, particularly in its relation to politeness, stance, and emotion.

Almbark, Morrill, and Hellmuth (2020) [20] provide an insightful example of this in their study of Syrian Arabic. They found that speakers use rising contours not just to mark interrogatives but also to express speaker hesitation, respect, or emotional caution. Their research bridges phonology and pragmatics, illustrating how the same intonational structure may serve multiple functions depending on social context. This multiplicity reflects the inherently interpretive nature of prosody and supports the broader claim that intonation is a semiotic resource for negotiating speaker–listener relationships.

A similar observation emerges in Al-Ani and Husein's (2021) [8] analysis of Iraqi Arabic. Their study revealed that speakers often rely on prosody to signal indirectness, especially in face-threatening situations. For example, what appears syntactically as a question may function as a polite command, depending on pitch and phrasing. Such uses of intonation align with Grice's (1975) [17] concept of conversational implicature, whereby speakers imply more than they explicitly state. In high-context cultures like those in the Arab world, such indirectness is often preferred, and intonation becomes a crucial pragmatic device.

These pragmatic studies are particularly relevant to Nigerian Arabic, where cultural norms around politeness, deference to authority, and indirect speech are also prevalent. In Hausa, for instance, it is common to soften imperatives using tonal variation or question-like structures. It is plausible that Nigerian Arabic speakers transfer such pragmatic strategies into their Arabic speech, adapting intonation to fulfill sociocultural expectations. However, this area remains

underexplored in academic literature and represents a significant opportunity for further conceptual and empirical research.

Moreover, intonation in spoken Arabic can also reflect speaker emotions and attitudes. Wichmann (2019) [16] notes that emotional expressiveness in speech is often marked prosodically, through pitch modulation, elongation, and loudness. In Arabic, emotional intonation is context-sensitive and shaped by both linguistic and cultural conventions. Understanding these patterns is essential for interpreting not only what is said but also how it is meant. In Nigerian Arabic, where expressiveness may be influenced by local norms, this interpretive function of intonation becomes even more salient.

4.3 Arabic in multilingual and contact contexts

Arabic, when spoken outside its native geographic core, undergoes significant phonological and sociolinguistic adaptation. This is especially evident in multilingual contexts where Arabic coexists with one or more dominant local languages. In such settings, speakers often acquire Arabic as a second or third language, leading to phonological transfers, prosodic simplifications, and innovations. Albirini (2016) [1] notes that prosody is among the most susceptible components of a language to cross-linguistic influence, particularly in heritage and non-native varieties. These adaptations are shaped by patterns of acquisition, language dominance, and sociocultural integration.

In Nigeria, Arabic functions mainly as a religious and educational language, often taught through rote memorization and limited oral interaction (Owens, 2001) [6]. Most speakers are fluent in Hausa, Kanuri, or other local languages, many of which are tonal. This linguistic backdrop increases the likelihood of prosodic transfer from the first language (L1) to Arabic as a second language (L2). Zahrah (2023) [22] reviews several L2 Arabic studies and finds that learners frequently apply intonation patterns from their native language, especially when they are not explicitly taught the prosodic norms of Arabic. This may result in intonation contours in Nigerian Arabic that differ significantly from both Classical and spoken dialects of Arabic.

The phenomenon of prosodic transfer is well-documented in contact linguistics. Mennen (2021) [14] emphasizes that L2 speakers often maintain L1 pitch habits even after achieving grammatical competence in the second language. This results in what is sometimes referred to as "intonational interference" or "intonational hybridity." In the case of Nigerian Arabic, this may mean that speakers unknowingly produce pitch contours that carry unintended pragmatic meanings when compared to native dialects. Such deviations are not merely errors but may reflect localized norms of communication and emergent speech communities.

Yahaya's (2018) [21] study of Hausa-English bilinguals in Northern Nigeria provides supporting evidence for this dynamic. He found that bilinguals often impose tonal features of Hausa onto English intonation, resulting in speech that is rhythmically and functionally distinct from native English varieties. Although his research does not directly address Arabic, it highlights the broader linguistic environment in which Nigerian Arabic is spoken. If similar tonal influences affect Arabic speech, this could explain some of the distinctive intonational patterns observed among Nigerian Arabic speakers.

Lastly, sociolinguistic studies such as those by Boumans and Al-Wer (2013) [2] argue that Arabic dialectology must take contact-induced variation more seriously, especially in the context of global Arabic. The use of Arabic in Nigeria exemplifies the growing diversity of Arabic speech communities worldwide, where local linguistic ecologies shape how Arabic is spoken, heard, and interpreted. Understanding this variation—especially in terms of intonation—requires frameworks that acknowledge multilingualism, language ideology, and cultural adaptation.

4.4 Gaps and implications

Although a growing body of research has explored Arabic intonation across native dialects in the Middle East and North Africa, little attention has been given to varieties spoken in multilingual and non-native contexts such as Nigeria. Most existing studies focus on native speakers and do not account for the influence of local tonal languages like Hausa or Kanuri, which may significantly affect how Arabic intonation is acquired and used. Likewise, the limited research on Nigerian Arabic tends to be sociolinguistic in scope (e.g., Owens, 2001) [6], with minimal focus on prosody or the structural and functional role of intonation.

This conceptual gap is significant. Nigerian Arabic speakers often learn the language through formal or religious instruction rather than natural immersion, and their speech may reflect prosodic transfer from their first languages. Yet, studies on prosodic transfer and pragmatic intonation (e.g., Zahrah, 2023; Mennen, 2021) [22, 14] rarely include African Arabic varieties. By addressing these gaps, this study contributes to a broader understanding of Arabic prosody beyond native contexts and highlights the need for future empirical research. It also offers insights that could inform Arabic teaching strategies in multilingual African settings, where intonation plays a critical role in effective communication.

5. Discussion and interpretation

Intonation, though often overlooked in the study of Arabic in West Africa, plays a central role in the negotiation of meaning, especially among Nigerian Arabic speakers who operate in multilingual environments. Drawing on theoretical insights and prior research, this section interprets how intonation contributes to meaning-making, shaped by both linguistic transfer and local pragmatic norms.

To begin, in most native Arabic dialects, yes–no questions are typically marked by a high-rising pitch contour at the end of the sentence. For example, in Egyptian Arabic, the phrase "inta gay" (Are you coming?) is realized as [ˈʔɪn.ta gæj↗], where the rising terminal pitch distinguishes it from a declarative (Hellmuth, 2014) [13]. Among Nigerian Arabic speakers, especially those with a Hausa or Kanuri background, this rising

pattern may be preserved, but often layered with tonal interference. For instance, a Nigerian Arabic speaker might pronounce the same utterance with a mid-rise-fall contour, resembling tonal emphasis from Hausa, which could unintentionally convey certainty or insistence rather than inquiry.

Similarly, in declarative sentences, native dialects tend to show a falling contour to indicate completion or factuality. A sentence like "huwa talib" (He is a student) in Iraqi Arabic would typically end on a low pitch [ˈhu.wa ˈtˤa.ib↘] (Al-Ani & Husein, 2021) [8]. In Nigerian Arabic, however, a flat or slightly rising tone may be applied, either due to tonal habits or teaching models that do not stress prosodic accuracy. This could result in the utterance sounding ambiguous or open-ended, a perception that could affect turn-taking or speaker–listener coordination.

Moreover, intonation in commands and politeness strategies appears to undergo notable pragmatic adaptation. In native Arabic dialects, imperatives like "iftaḥ albāb" (Open the door) are often direct and marked by a firm falling tone. In contrast, Nigerian Arabic speakers may soften commands through a question-like intonation, producing something like "iftaḥ albāb?" [ˈɪf.taħ ʔal.ˈbaːb↗], resembling Hausa indirectness strategies (Yahaya, 2018) [21]. Here, the intonation serves as a politeness strategy, and while grammatically it remains imperative, the rising pitch reframes it as a suggestion or polite request. This illustrates how intonation acquires sociocultural value beyond phonology.

Additionally, emotive and attitudinal functions of intonation are highly culture-specific. For example, the phrase "ma shi mushkila" (It's not a problem) may carry a reassuring tone in native dialects with a gentle fall-rise contour. In Nigerian Arabic, influenced by expressive features of local languages, the same phrase may be delivered with a wider pitch range and elongated vowels [maː ʃiːːː muʃ.ˈki.la↘↗], potentially expressing sarcasm or underlying concern rather than calm reassurance. This reflects what Wichmann (2019) [16] describes as the intonational embodiment of emotional stance.

Another critical issue is intonational ambiguity. Because Nigerian Arabic speakers often transfer prosodic patterns from tonal first languages, pitch contours may not consistently signal grammatical function. A sentence like "anta tifham?" (Do you understand?) may be produced with a flat tone or low pitch—[ˈʔan.ta ˈtɪf.ham↘]—causing it to be interpreted as a statement rather than a question. This could result in pragmatic misalignment, especially in instructional or formal settings where questioning and clarification are vital.

Finally, it is worth noting that the pedagogical context in which Arabic is acquired in Nigeria—mainly Quranic or formal classroom settings—seldom emphasizes intonation. Recitation focuses more on phonemic accuracy and tajwīd (rules of Quranic pronunciation) than on conversational prosody. As such, when learners attempt spontaneous speech, their intonation may draw more from memorized cadences or L1 rhythm, resulting in what Mennen (2021) [14] terms "prosodic drift." Over time, this drift solidifies into a localized prosodic system that is functionally communicative within the community, though divergent from native norms.

In summary, intonation among Nigerian Arabic speakers reflects a dynamic interplay of linguistic adaptation, pragmatic reinterpretation, and cultural influence. The examples and patterns presented here show that while core Arabic pitch functions are broadly retained, they are frequently reshaped to align with local communicative styles. This phenomenon supports the view that intonation is both linguistically systematic and socioculturally negotiable, making it a crucial area for further study in African Arabic contexts.

6. Recommendations

In light of the findings presented in this conceptual appraisal, several recommendations are proposed to guide future research and practice. First, there is a clear need for empirical studies on the prosodic features of Nigerian Arabic, particularly intonation patterns in spontaneous conversation. Such research should employ acoustic analysis and field-based data collection to validate or expand upon the conceptual insights outlined in this paper.

Secondly, Arabic language instruction in multilingual African settings, especially in Nigeria, should place greater emphasis on prosody and intonation. Most current teaching models focus on grammar and vocabulary while neglecting the functional role of intonation in effective communication. Integrating intonation-focused modules—using examples from both native and localized Arabic varieties—can help learners avoid pragmatic misfires and improve oral fluency.

Finally, curriculum designers and educators should develop context-sensitive teaching materials that reflect the linguistic realities of learners. Recognizing and accommodating the influence of local tonal languages like Hausa and Kanuri can enhance the communicative competence of learners and promote a more inclusive approach to Arabic language education in West Africa.

References

1. Albirini A. Modern Arabic sociolinguistics: Diglossia, variation, codeswitching, attitudes and identity. Routledge, 2016.
2. Boumans L, Al-Wer E. Arabic dialectology: A methodological perspective. In: Al-Wer E, de Jong R, editors. Arabic dialectology: In honour of Clive Holes on the occasion of his 65th birthday. Brill, 2013, 5-36.
3. Cruttenden A. Intonation. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
4. El Zarka D. The prosody of wh-questions in Egyptian Arabic. In: Buthke S, Scheffler T, editors. Prosody and meaning. De Gruyter, 2011, 109-32.
5. Ladd DR. Intonational phonology. 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
6. Owens J. Arabic as a minority and majority language. In: Owens J, editor. Arabic sociolinguistics: Issues and perspectives. Curzon, 2001, 25-46.
7. Watson JCE, Heselwood B. Intonation in Arabic. In: Eid M, Johnstone K, editors. The Routledge handbook of Arabic linguistics. Routledge, 2011, 182-96.

8. Al-Ani S, Husein A. Intonational features in Iraqi Arabic: A prosodic analysis. J Arabic Linguist. 2021;45(2):56-75.
9. Al-Wer E. Dialect contact and prosody in Arabic: A sociophonetic perspective. Linguist Vanguard. 2022;8(1):20210038.
10. Bucholtz M, Hall K. Identity and interaction: A sociocultural linguistic approach. Discourse Stud. 2005;7(4-5):585-614.
11. Chahal D, Hellmuth S. The intonational phonology of Lebanese and Egyptian Arabic. In: Jun SA, editor. Prosodic typology II: The phonology of intonation and phrasing. Oxford University Press, 2014, 365-404.
12. Gussenhoven C. The phonology of tone and intonation. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
13. Hellmuth S. Intonational variation in Arabic: Theoretical and practical implications. Arab Linguist J. 2014;36:101-23.
14. Mennen I. Cross-language prosodic transfer in L2 speech. Phonetica. 2021;78(2):89-112.
15. Ward N, Hirschberg J. Pragmatic functions of intonation. In: Horne M, editor. Prosody: Theory and experiment. Springer, 2020, 303-27.
16. Wichmann A. Intonation and emotion. In: Couper-Kuhlen S, Selting M, editors. Studies in interactional linguistics. Cambridge University Press, 2019, 235-61.
17. Grice HP. Logic and conversation. In: Cole P, Morgan JL, editors. Syntax and semantics: Speech acts. Vol. 3. Academic Press, 1975, 41-58.
18. Nespor M, Vogel I. Prosodic phonology. Foris Publications, 1986.
19. Searle JR. Indirect speech acts. In: Cole P, Morgan JL, editors. Syntax and semantics: Speech acts. Vol. 3. Academic Press, 1975, 59-82.
20. Almbark R, Morrill T, Hellmuth S. Intonation and speaker stance in Syrian Arabic: The pragmatics–phonology interface. Lang Speech. 2020;63(1):72-98.
21. Yahaya MS. Language contact and prosody: A phonological study of Hausa-English bilinguals in Northern Nigeria. Niger J Linguist. 2018;35(1):74-91.
22. Zahrah H. Intonation in Arabic L2 speech: A review of recent trends and future directions. Appl Linguist Rev. 2023;14(2):245-68. doi:10.1515/applirev-2022-0021.