Advances in microbial bioreactors: enhancing yield through process intensification

Rand Tariq Khalaf[1*] and Saba Adnan Gheni Ghali[1]

[1] Department of Chemical, College of Engineering, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq

Correspondence Author: Rand Tariq Khalaf

Received 3 Feb 2025; Accepted 2 Apr 2025; Published 9 Apr 2025

Abstract

Developments in Microbial Bioreactors: Increasing Yield by Intensifying the Process Critical issues in microbial bioreactors, such as low productivity, ineffective substrate conversion, and excessive resource consumption, can be resolved via Process Intensification (PI). Using the SEST paradigm (Structure, Energy, Synergy, Time), this research examines current PI techniques in microbial bioprocessing and assesses their effects on sustainability and yield enhancement. Three key technologies show particular promise: perfusion-based cell cultures (achieving cell densities 3-10 times higher than batch processing), continuous-flow biocatalysis (improving volumetric productivity 3-5 fold), and microbubble-assisted mass transfer (increasing oxygen transfer efficiency by 40-60% while reducing energy requirements). Improved process control, decreased environmental impact, and increased volumetric output are just a few of the measurable advantages that these methods offer. A route towards adaptable, responsive biomanufacturing systems is made possible by the combination of PI with metabolic engineering and digital monitoring technologies. Implementing PI provides a convincing approach to creating high-performance, sustainable microbial bioreactors for next-generation industrial biotechnology, notwithstanding technological and regulatory obstacles.

Keywords: Microbial Bioreactors, Process Intensification (PI), SEST paradigm, Iraq

1. Introduction

The cultivation of microorganisms within microbial bioreactors serves as an essential practice in industrial biotechnology because it lets scientists produce high-value compounds starting from therapeutic proteins and ending with enzymes and organic acids together with biofuels. The rising industrial market demand for these products creates increased pressure to enhance bioreactor system efficiency and productivity. Most bioprocesses continue to experience operational hurdles which include restricted production output and deficient substrate conversion efficiencies and extensive resource utilization (Chisti, 2016) [10]. The biological process redesign strategy known as Process Intensification (PI) serves to develop more productive and smaller-scale and environmentally friendly bioprocess systems. According to Stankiewicz and Moulijn (2000) [38] Process Intensification represents a complete redesign of process elements which results in strong efficiency gains and reduced power requirements and environmental footprint. Chemical engineering first used the principles of PI until they started gaining popularity in microbial systems (Boodhoo & Harvey, 2013) [6].

This paper evaluates the implementation of process intensification for microbial bioreactors through sustainability and yield improvement methodologies. The analysis starts with an exploration of PI theory structures before exploring its main technological manifestations including microbubble aeration systems as well as continuous flow biocatalysis and perfusion-based intensification. The paper presents a discussion on technical elements alongside economic considerations and environmental aspects before detailing predictions for future development alongside implementation obstacles**.**

2. Microbial bioreactors

Improving biomanufacturing efficiency and reducing costs through sustainable processes represents the main strategic interest of Process Intensification in microbial bioprocessing. Process Intensification seeks to transform scale-up practices through better ways to build compact resource-efficient systems (Stankiewicz & Moulijn, 2000) [38]. The integrated selection of reactor arrangements and multi-purpose operation functions through PI enables better yield production and minimizes operational difficulties and environmental challenges. The subsequent part presents primary microbial bioreactor types alongside PI's conceptual base and explains the business incentives together with challenges for biological systems integration.

2.1 Microbial bioreactors and their types

The principal equipment within industrial microbial bioprocesses is the bioreactor because it creates controlled conditions which maximize both microbial growth and bioproduct synthesis. The designed system ensures aerated solution blending through controlled temperature maintenance and pH conditions. Continuous stirred-tank reactors (CSTR) stand as the predominant type of microbial bioreactor because they present both operational ease and flexibility according to Al-Mashhadani *et al*. (2019) [1].

Plug Flow Reactors (PFRs) maintain a single-directional flow pattern through which reaction products disperse across space which makes them ideal for continuous manufacturing of

quick-reaction systems (Britton & Raston, 2017) [8]. High cell densities together with optimized substrate use are achievable with Packed and fluidized bed reactors that operate with immobilized cells (Benítez-Mateos *et al*., 2021) [4]. The combination of biological treatment with membrane filtration through membrane bioreactors provides biomass retention and has established itself as a standard technology for wastewater treatment and producing high-purity products (Luo *et al*., 2019) [26].

Modern bioreactors under the name perfusion systems function as a contemporary alternative by supplying continuous fluid flow to keep cells alive and maintain a constant culture state. Business operations extend with longer durations and reach higher productivity levels because of this approach (Jacquemart *et al*., 2016) [20]. The selection of bacillus subtilis or *E. coli* for bioproduction requires consideration of various bioreactor-extrinsic factors which determine specific advantages and challenges.

Bioreactor Type	Best-Suited PI Strategy	Supporting Source
CSTR (Continuous Stirred-Tank Reactor)	Microbubble aeration + Enhanced mixing + PAT	Al-Mashhadani et al. (2019)
PFR (Plug Flow Reactor)	Continuous flow processing + Integrated upstre	Britton & Raston (2017)
Packed/Fluidized Bed Reactor	Immobilized biocatalysts + Continuous operatio	Benítez-Mateos et al. (2021)
Membrane Bioreactor (MBR)	Membrane-based cell retention + Digital monito	Luo et al. (2019)
Perfusion Bioreactor	Continuous nutrient feeding + Waste removal +	Jacquemart et al. (2016)

2.2 Theoretical foundations of process intensification

Process Intensification turns basic process frameworks into small-scale unified systems which operate efficiently. According to Stankiewicz and Moulijn (2000) [38] process intensification seeks to achieve three fundamental objectives: decreasing power requirements and system space requirements and optimizing operational results. The evaluation of PI strategies benefits from using the SEST model proposed by Van Gerven and Stankiewicz (2009) [41] which incorporates Structure, Energy, Synergy and Time components. Process reengineering gets support from these principles which help researchers combine physical chemical and biological transformations in unified systems.

The implementation of Process Intensification applies to microbial bioreactors through microfluidic designs and microbubble undefining and combined biological-chemical conversion systems (Charpentier, 2007) [9]. The market movement toward smaller processes and decentralized operations makes PI a fundamental method for developing sustainable bioprocesses (Ramshaw, 1995; Kiss, 2014) [33, 23].

2.3 Drivers and barriers to process intensification

Process Intensification (PI) serves as a strategic solution which addresses escalating requirements from the industrial sector for improved productivity together with cost management and sustainable environmental practices. Favorable market forces generate adoption of PI in microbial bioreactors through maximizing resource use and reducing physical space and workstream optimization (Harmsen, 2007; Kiss, 2014) [19, 23]. The sector which needs flexible production systems has adopted Process Intensification (PI) for its acceptance. Scientific research has proven that applying microreactor technology in pharmaceutical and fine chemical manufacturing enhances both safety conditions and enables greater selectivity with scalable production outcomes (Roberge *et al*., 2008) [36]. The intensified process sector pushes bio-based chemical manufacturing competitiveness forward through better space-time yields and decreased downstream operation weight (Gómez *et al*., 2012) [18].

Several practical as well as technical barriers continue to block the full implementation of PI across different applications. Current industrial deployment faces difficulties regarding infrastructure modifiability while keeping intensified operations complicated and requiring sophisticated control systems to reach stable product quality under shifting environmental demands (Harmsen 2007; Charpentier 2007) [19, 9]. The sensitivity of microorganisms in biological systems to physical and chemical fluctuations leads to further complication of these issues because they need precise environmental control (Gómez *et al*., 2012) [18]. The reluctance of industries to adopt new processes persists together with regulatory uncertainties that restrict marketwide implementation (Kelley, 2020) [21].

3. Bioprocess intensification

Multiple technological methods which build upon PI principles have developed to maximize operation of microbial bioreactors. The research combines two types of strategies such as advanced mass and energy transfer methods and process continuation between upstream and downstream operations (Kiss, 2014; Charpentier, 2007) [23, 9]. This part discusses three key microbial implementation methods used today that provide powerful enhancements: mass transfer augmentation through microbubbles together with continuous biocatalytic processes along with perfusion-based method intensification. The various methods tackle particular problems in standard bioprocesses while creating enhanced production levels along with reliable outcomes and eco-friendly manufacturing solutions.

3.1 Microbubble-assisted bioprocess intensification

Microbubble technology represents a highly effective approach within PI frameworks to improve gas–liquid mass transfer operations in bioreactors. Small microbubbles with diameters below 100 μm display enormous surface area-to-volume ratios and allow gases to remain in suspension longer which leads to significant transfer of oxygen and CO_2 into the culture medium according to Zhou *et al*. (2020) [48] and Al-Mashhadani *et al*. (2019) [1]. Scientific studies demonstrate that microbial bioreactors benefit from microbubbles because they boost biomass production while decreasing energy requirements and cell protection from oxidative stress (Luo & Tsai, 2020; Mekonnen *et al*., 2018) [25, 27]. The application of microbubbles

with CO_2 delivery systems in algal photobioreactors supports enhanced carbon capture and makes the entire process more environmentally friendly (Nagarajan *et al*., 2021; Nirmalakhandan *et al*., 2021) [28, 30].

Research findings indicate that microbubble aeration technology enhances both the operational speed and output production rates in stirred-tank fermentors (Yusof *et al*., 2020) [46]. The method proves suitable for wastewater treatment since it enhances oxygen transfer operations at elevated organic substance levels (Wu *et al*., 2019) [45]. The industrial deployment of microbubble systems requires research to overcome three major barriers integrating with current reactor designs and maintaining bubble stability and controlling energy consumption.

3.2 Continuous flow and bioprocess integration

The revolution in biocatalysis and biomanufacturing occurred through continuous flow operation which enables both substrate supply and product extraction maintaining a continuous process. Bioprocess operation under steady-state conditions provides optimal bioprocess control and boosts the volume-based productivity according to Geyer *et al*. (2020) [16] and Paradisi & Contente (2021) [31]. The use of immobilized enzymes or cells with flow biocatalysis systems enables stable long-duration operation that produces lower amounts of byproducts (Benítez-Mateos *et al*., 2021; Böhmer *et al*., 2019) [4, 5]. The integration of reaction and separation and purification steps under a continuous processing platform minimizes operational time while decreasing waste generation along with reducing platform size (Britton & Raston, 2017) [8]. The modularity and scalability of manufacturing units improve due to flow bioprocessing through microreactors as well as multi-step continuous systems (Kern *et al*., 2020; Tufvesson *et al*., 2019) [22, 40]. These integrated systems with intensified functions match today's green chemistry principles and Industrial Internet developments because they create strong interest for pharmaceutical and food and chemical industries.

3.3 Perfusion strategies for therapeutic protein production

The biopharmaceutical industry uses perfusion bioreactors as fundamental tools for performing PI applications. This method uses membrane-based separation to keep living cells as cells receive nutrients and eliminate waste simultaneously during continuous process (Jacquemart *et al*., 2016; Luo *et al*., 2019) [20, 26]. Perfusion bioreactors deliver increased cell population densities along with dependable high quality outcomes throughout extended production periods when making therapeutic proteins specifically monoclonal antibodies and other therapeutic proteins (Warikoo *et al*., 2012; Schwarz *et al*., 2019) [42, 37]. Seamless cell separation and retention happens through the integration of alternating tangential flow (ATF) or tangential flow filtration (TFF) systems to enable the foundation of integrated continuous biomanufacturing (Pollock *et al*., 2017; Andar *et al*., 2020) [31, 2].

Research demonstrated that perfusion methods decrease industrial expenses by half relative to fed-batch procedures and maximize facility efficiency alongside minimizing power consumption along with water usage (Pollock *et al*., 2017; Konstantinov & Cooney, 2015) [32, 24]. The process of seed train intensification receives ongoing development for accelerating cell population expansion to achieve superior operational flexibility (Zhang *et al*., 2021) [47].

4. Enhancing productivity and sustainability: technical and economic dimensions

The main objective of employing PI in microbial bioreactors stems from productivity enhancement. Through metabolic engineering and synthetic biology researchers can conduct rational pathway optimization to guide carbon flux towards target metabolites according to Nielsen (2016) [29] and Choi *et al*. (2019) [11]. Directed evolution together with enzyme redesign performed enhancements on microbial biocatalysts while they function in intensified environments (Currin *et al*., 2015; Arnold, 2019) [12, 3]. Transformation of the bioprocess development emerges from AI-assisted modeling alongside digital simulation of metabolic behavior and system dynamics according to Woodley (2017) [44]. This combination of methods contributes to successful and quick implementation of PI-based platforms for industrial deployment (Emanuelsson *et al*., 2020) [13]. These tactics promote green manufacturing goals by reducing the demand for chemicals while also using less energy and water (Stankiewicz & Moulijn, 2000) [38]. According to Zhou *et al*. (2020) [49], Geyer *et al*. (2020) [16], and Pollock *et al*. (2017) [32], studies that integrate microbubbles with technological platforms in conjunction with continuous flow systems and perfusion bioreactors have demonstrated their capacity to improve both operational efficiency and environmental impact. In addition to yield augmentation, contemporary performance improvement frameworks set resilience and yield sustainability as core objectives.

5. Challenges and future directions

There are several obstacles in the way of PI technology's broad application in microbial bioprocessing operations. Three main obstacles restrict the use of PI in microbial bioprocessing: incompatibility of infrastructure, unit intensification issues, and challenging real-time control (Konstantinov & Cooney, 2015) [24]. The effort to implement large-scale transition becomes complicated by legacy infrastructure coupled with regulatory uncertainty which affects mostly tightly controlled sectors such as biologics (Kelley 2020; Pollock *et al*. 2017) [21, 32].

New generation PI platforms will incorporate features of modularity alongside automated functions and advanced monitoring capabilities. The next generation of biomanufacturing will include microsystems engineering along with energy-efficient intensification units and digitally controlled smart production platforms according to Ramshaw (1995) [33] Reay *et al*. (2013) [35] and Boodhoo & Harvey (2013) [7]. The elements serve both Industry 4.0 principles and speed up responses to market variations as well as worldwide medical requirements (Harmsen, 2007) [19]. Sustainable process design receives growing interest from multiple organizations which concentrate on renewable energy integration and waste

reduction through their initiatives (Kiss, 2014) [23]. The pharmaceutical industry utilizes flow biocatalysis to achieve secure sustainable manufacturing of active pharmaceutical ingredients which proves essential during global health crises (Fernández-Lucas *et al*., 2020) [14].

6. Conclusion

Process intensification (PI), which dramatically increases productivity and efficiency while lowering operating costs and environmental impact, offers a strong route forward for improving microbial bioreactor performance. The present research has shown that methods that employ continuous flow biocatalysis, perfusion-based manufacturing, and microbubble-assisted mass transfer can successfully tackle common bottlenecks in microbial bioprocessing related to resource utilization, process stability, and mass transfer. Beyond just technical development, economic factors and legal restrictions are also important for the effective application of PI. When combined with digital monitoring tools and sophisticated process control systems, PI allows for precise operations, less environmental harm, and increased economic viability in contemporary biomanufacturing. It takes interdisciplinary cooperation, reactor design innovation, and conformity to changing industry norms to reach its full potential. System-level integration, automation tactics, and scalable deployment techniques should be the main emphasis of future research in order to create the next generation of intensified microbial biomanufacturing systems that can quickly adapt to changes in the market and the demands of the global medical community.

References

1. Al-Mashhadani MKH, *et al*. Microbubble mediated gas–liquid mass transfer for algal photobioreactors. Chem Eng Sci. 2019;203:519–30. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2019.03.053
2. Andar A, Hussain A, Lee J. Continuous biomanufacturing of therapeutic proteins. Trends Biotechnol. 2020;38(10):1045–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2020.03.008
3. Arnold FH. Innovation by evolution: Bringing new chemistry to life. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2019;58(41):14420–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201907729
4. Benítez-Mateos AI, *et al*. Immobilized biocatalysts for continuous flow bioprocessing. Trends Biotechnol. 2021;39(10):1045–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2021.03.004
5. Böhmer W, *et al*. Process intensification in enzymatic synthesis. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem. 2019;15:46–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2018.11.005
6. Boodhoo KVK, Harvey AP. Process intensification: Principles and practice. Chem Eng Process. 2013;49(1):1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2010.05.006
7. Boodhoo KVK, Harvey AP. Process intensification technologies for green chemistry. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH, 2013.
8. Britton J, Raston CL. Multi-step continuous-flow synthesis. Chem Soc Rev. 2017;46(5):1250–71. https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CS00830K
9. Charpentier JC. Process intensification in practice: Current trends and future directions. AIChE J. 2007;53(12):3142–5. https://doi.org/10.1002/aic.11303
10. Chisti Y. Large-scale production of algal biomass: Process and economics. Biotechnol Adv. 2016;34(7):1393–406. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.02.001
11. Choi S, Song CW, Shin JH, Lee SY. Systems metabolic engineering strategies: Integrating systems and synthetic biology with metabolic engineering. Trends Biotechnol. 2019;37(8):817–37. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2019.01.003
12. Currin A, Swainston N, Day PJ, Kell DB. Synthetic biology for the directed evolution of protein biocatalysts: Navigating sequence space intelligently. Chem Soc Rev. 2015;44(5):1172–239. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4CS00351A
13. Emanuelsson EAC, *et al*. Biocatalysis in process intensification: Recent advances and future prospects. Chem Eng Process. 2020;156:108107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2020.108107
14. Fernández-Lucas J, *et al*. Flow biocatalysis: A powerful tool for the synthesis of active pharmaceutical ingredients. Catalysts. 2020;10(10):1189. https://doi.org/10.3390/catal10101189
15. Sartorius. Getting more from bioprocesses: Increasing productivity and sustainability through process intensification.
16. Geyer K, *et al*. Integration of biocatalysis into continuous flow synthesis. Nat Catal. 2020;3:729–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-020-0490-3
17. Gogate PR, Sutkar VS. Hydrodynamic cavitation for process intensification of bio-based processes. Curr Opin Green Sustain Chem. 2017;6:1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2017.04.003
18. Gómez M, *et al*. Intensified processes for bio-based chemicals. Renew Energy. 2012;37(1):298–305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2011.06.017
19. Harmsen GJ. Process intensification in the petrochemicals industry: Drivers and hurdles for commercial implementation. Chem Eng Process. 2007;46(9):774–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2007.05.009
20. Jacquemart R, Vandersluis M, Zhao M, Shah N. Perfusion cell culture processes for recombinant protein production. Biotechnol J. 2016;11(4):473–84. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201500222
21. Kelley B. Industrialization of mAb production technology: The bioprocessing industry at a crossroads. mAbs. 2020;12(1):1834341. https://doi.org/10.1080/19420862.2020.1834341
22. Kern S, *et al*. Integrated biocatalysis in continuous flow: Technologies and examples. Catal Today. 2020;355:2–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2019.11.043
23. Kiss AA. Process intensification for sustainable energy conversion. London: Academic Press, 2014.

24. Konstantinov KB, Cooney CL. White paper on continuous bioprocessing: May 20–21, 2014 Continuous Manufacturing Symposium. J Pharm Sci. 2015;104(3):813–20. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.24343
25. Luo G, Tsai T. The role of microbubbles in bioprocess efficiency: Recent advances. Bioresour Technol Rep. 2020;12:100573. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biteb.2020.100573
26. Luo J, *et al*. Perfusion culture strategies for recombinant protein production: A review. Biotechnol Adv. 2019;37(5):743–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2019.05.002
27. Mekonnen T, *et al*. Process intensification using novel microbubble technology: Opportunities and challenges. Chem Eng Process. 2018;130:171–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cep.2018.06.003
28. Nagarajan D, *et al*. Recent advances in microbubble-assisted bioreactor designs. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2021;145:111059. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111059
29. Nielsen J. Engineering cellular metabolism. Cell. 2016;164(6):1185–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.02.004
30. Nirmalakhandan N, *et al*. Microbubble-based CO_2 delivery for enhancing biomass productivity. J CO2 Util. 2021;46:101457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcou.2021.101457
31. Paradisi F, Contente ML. Flow biocatalysis: An opportunity for continuous manufacturing. Nat Catal. 2021;4(12):1034–45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41929-021-00733-6
32. Pollock J, *et al*. Integrated continuous bioprocessing: Economic, operational, and environmental feasibility for monoclonal antibodies. Biotechnol Prog. 2017;33(4):854–66. https://doi.org/10.1002/btpr.2464
33. Ramshaw C. Process intensification and microsystems. AIChE Symp Ser. 1995;91(304):13–21.
34. Reay D, Ramshaw C, Harvey A. Process intensification: Engineering for efficiency, sustainability and flexibility. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2008.
35. Reay D, Ramshaw C, Harvey A. Process intensification: Engineering for efficiency, sustainability and flexibility. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann, 2013.
36. Roberge DM, *et al*. Microreactor technology: A revolution for the fine chemical and pharmaceutical industries? Chem Eng Technol. 2008;31(8):1144–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.200800062
37. Schwarz HE, *et al*. Intensified fed-batch versus continuous processing for CHO cell culture: Economic and operational considerations. J Biotechnol. 2019;305:44–55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2019.09.006
38. Stankiewicz A, Moulijn JA. Process intensification: Transforming chemical engineering. Chem Eng Prog. 2000;96(1):22–34.
39. Tamborini L, Fernandes P, Paradisi F, Molinari F. Flow chemistry: Recent developments in the synthesis of pharmaceutical products. Adv Synth Catal. 2018;360(16):3018–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201800312
40. Tufvesson P, *et al*. Process intensification of biocatalytic processes. Green Chem. 2019;21(2):387–404. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8GC03650J
41. Van Gerven T, Stankiewicz A. Structure, energy, synergy, time—The fundamentals of process intensification. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2009;48(5):2465–74. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801501y
42. Warikoo V, *et al*. Integrated continuous production of recombinant therapeutic proteins. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2012;109(12):3018–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24584
43. Wijffels RH, Kruse O, Hellingwerf KJ. Potential of industrial biotechnology with cyanobacteria and eukaryotic microalgae. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 2013;24(3):405–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.04.004
44. Woodley JM. New opportunities for biocatalysis: Making pharmaceutical processes greener. Trends Biotechnol. 2017;35(9):823–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2017.04.002
45. Wu L, *et al*. Application of microbubbles in wastewater treatment. Water Res. 2019;165:114967. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2019.114967
46. Yusof N, *et al*. Enhanced fermentation kinetics using microbubble aeration in stirred bioreactors. J Environ Chem Eng. 2020;8(6):104516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2020.104516
47. Zhang J, *et al*. Strategies for seed train intensification in perfusion and fed-batch cultures. Biotechnol J. 2021;16(10):2000372. https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.202000372
48. Zhou Q, *et al*. Microbubble-driven mass transfer enhancement in bioreactors: Mechanisms and applications. Biochem Eng J. 2020;161:107665. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2020.107665
49. Zhou ZW, *et al*. Continuous flow reactors for green and sustainable chemical production. Renew Sustain Energy Rev. 2020;133:110037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110037
50. Zydney AL. Continuous downstream processing for high value biological products: A review. Biotechnol Bioeng. 2016;113(3):465–75. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25629.